

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,308	10/31/2003	Liann-Be Chang	MR3029-31/DIV	9879
7590 03/24/2005			EXAM	INER
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE			TRAN, THANH Y	
SUITE 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			2822	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 3							
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	· IAC		
		10/697,	308	CHANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Thanh Y		2822			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	0SS		
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is one period for reply is specified above, the maximum is one reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the st tatutory period will apply and v will. by statute, cause the au	event, however, may a atutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI polication to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comr BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on	~.		~~~		
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final	•			
		•		ters prosecution as to the m	nerits is		
الــا	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice didei Ex parte d	dayle, 1000 O.L	5. 11, 400 O.O. 210.			
Disposit	tion of Claims	·		`	,		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 17-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict of the subject of the subj	are withdrawn from c					
Applicat	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Fyaminer					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		n)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
ات (۱۰	Applicant may not request that any obje						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	= : :			t 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	-					
•	·	· ·					
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in a nents have beer ule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	tage		
Attachmer			<b></b>				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO 048\	4) LI Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Application/Control Number: 10/697,308

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim (U.S. 4,999,310).

As to claim 17, Kim discloses in figures 3(A)-4(E) a structure of a LED device ("GaAs LED array structure) (see col. 2, lines 38-44) comprising: a LED substrate (21) (substrate 21 of "GaAs LED array structure") (see col. 2, lines 38-44 and col. 2, line 65); and a transparent layer (24) on the LED substrate (21), wherein the transparent layer (24) comprises a metallic Zn dopant (26) ("zinc diffusion region 26") (see Figs. 3(a) and 4(C), transparent layer 24 comprises dopped metallic zinc (Zn) 26, also see col. 3, lines 7-13).

As to claim 18, Kim discloses in figures 3(A)-4(E) a structure of a LED device ("GaAs LED array structure) (see col. 2, lines 38-44), wherein the transparent layer (24) is formed by LPE process ("Liquid Phase Epitaxy") (see col. 2, line 61 – col. 3, line 6).

In addition, the limitation of "said transparent layer is formed by LPE process" is a process limitation in a product claim, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,308

Art Unit: 2822

As to claim 19, the limitation of "said transparent layer is formed by LPE process utilizing a supersaturated solution" is a process limitation in a product claim, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965).

As to claim 20, the limitation of "an amount 1/1000 to 1/10 by weight of a solvent of a supersaturated solution in the LPE process" is a process limitation in a product claim, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965).

As to claim 21, the limitation of "an amount 1/1000 to 1/10 by weight of Sb of the supersaturated solution in the LPE process" is a process limitation in a product claim, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965).

### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sato et al (U.S. 5,171,364) discloses process for preparing conductive acicular zinc oxide.

Fukasawa et al (U.S. 2004/0041165) discloses method for manufacturing light emitting diode devices.

Murano (U.S. 2002/0185966) discloses light emitting device and manufacturing method thereof.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/697,308

Art Unit: 2822

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Y. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2110. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-6:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**TYT** 

/ KAVITA ZARABIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800